

Waste Core Strategy & Development Control Policies

Summary of Responses on Submission Draft (June 2008)

Total No. of Respondents – 22 comprising:

- Waste Industry – 4
- Councils – 7
- Other organisations – 11

Industry	Councils	Other
Ibstock Brick	Blaby District Council	Anglian Water
Lafarge Aggregates	Braunstone Town Council	CABE
SITA UK	Charnwood Borough Council	EMRA
Viridor	Derbyshire County Council	English Heritage
	Langar cum Barnstone Parish Council	GOEM
	Melton Borough Council	Highways Agency
	Narborough Parish Council	National Farmers Union
		National Grid
		Natural England
		NEMA
		William Davis Ltd.

Total No. of Representations: 107

No. with no comments/no objections: 3 (Langar cum Barnstone Parish Council; Melton Borough Council; National Grid.)

Generally supportive of DPD: 3 (GOEM; Ibstock Brick, Lafarge Aggregates)

EMRA as Regional Planning Body for the East Midlands consider that the submissions are in general conformity with RSS8.

Supportive comments in respect of specific policies/paragraphs: 29

Main Issues:

The Core Strategy would be more useful once the procurement process had been completed (Lafarge)

Lack of reference to Green Infrastructure strategies and the role that waste sites may play in bringing about a net gain in biodiversity and other aspects of Green Infrastructure. (Charnwood BC)

Repetition of Annex E of PPS10 and other national guidance (GOEM)
CS2 (strategic waste sites):

- Query why landfill is not a strategic site (Lafarge, Ibstock Brick)

CS3 (non strategic waste sites):

- SUEs are not appropriate locations for the location of new waste sites (William Davis Ltd)
- Additional bullet point requested (GOEM)

CS4 (locating waste sites)

- does not wish to see A-F as sequential (Ibstock Brick)
- sequential test unclear (Viridor)

CS6 (anaerobic digestion (AD), incineration etc etc):

- landfill gas should also be listed (Ibstock Brick)
- this aspect of the strategy poorly justified (Charnwood Borough Council)
- policy criteria needs to be rearranged (GOEM)

CS8 (inert waste landfill)

- inclusion of text to policy to include mineral sites (Derbyshire County Council)

DC2 (sites of national historic importance):

- Lack of identification of environmentally acceptable sources of future coal working (UK Coal)

DC5 (open countryside):

- Wording changed to remove 'overriding need' (Natural England)

Key Diagrams:

- SUEs should be removed from key diagram (Braunstone Town Council)