

Should you require more space than that provided then please either photocopy this form, go to our website (add web address) and print off a form or attach a sheet to this form.

Please indicate to which document your comments apply using the numbering system for the documents below.

1. Minerals Spatial Strategy
2. Waste Spatial Strategy

Document to which  
your comments relate

3/2

Paragraph Number

ALL

Comment

I OBJECT TO ANY PLANS OR STRATEGIES WHICH WOULD RESULT IN THE FORMER NAILSTONE COLLIERY BEING USED FOR LANDFILL PURPOSES IN ANY FORM.

Document to which  
your comments relate

3/2

Paragraph Number

ALL

Comment

I WOULD SUPPORT PLANS FOR THE FORMER NAILSTONE COLLIERY SITE TO BE USED FOR RE-CYCLING PURPOSES PROVIDED:-

- a) IT DID NOT CREATE DUST
- b) AMBIENT NOISE LEVELS WERE NOT ADVERSELY AFFECTED
- c) BURNING, INCINERATION OR CHEMICAL PROCESSES DID NOT CREATE NOXIOUS GASSES.
- d) NO DOMESTIC, ORGANIC OR FOOD WASTE WAS EXPOSED RESULTING IN GULLS, CARION AND RODENTS BECOMING PREVALENT.

Name of the document  
to which this  
representation relates

Waste Core Strategy and Development Control  
Policies

**To which part of the document does your representation relate?**

Paragraph

Policy

Site Map

Please give details

I oppose the waste proposal and recommend that support be given for development of small business and country park.

**Comments on the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) Report**

Is any significant  
information missing or  
misrepresented?

Are judgements made in  
developing the  
Sustainability Appraisal  
framework correct?

Do you agree with the  
results of the assessment

Dear Sirs

As a close neighbour of the former Nailstone Colliery site I wish to object to this site being proposed for landfill use. Since mining operations ceased at this site more than 15 years ago we have been promised that it would be restored to 'public open space'. There have been several proposals to use this site for landfill and all have been vigorously opposed by local residents. The proposals have been rejected by planning committees, public inquiries, the court of appeal and even, I believe, the house of lords. That the county council who have spent so much of our money fighting these proposals should now be inviting their revival is scandalous. The council's energies should be directed towards minimising waste and rapidly increasing recycling rather than inviting commercial waste from who knows where to be dumped so close to our homes.

Regards