

## Development Plan Document (DPD)

Are You submitting this form: *	As an agent for another individual/organisation
Title*	Mr
Forename*	Colin
Surname*	D'Oyley
Your Job Title (Where relevant)	Planning & Estates Manager
Your Organisation (Where relevant)	Ennstone Johnston
Individual or Organisation you are representing	As above
Type of Individual or Organisation you are representing*	Industry
House or Flat number or name*	Ennstone Johnston Limited
Building Name (if applicable)	
Street Name*	Main Street
Village or Area (if applicable)	Breedon on the Hill
Post Town*	Derby
Postcode (e.g. LE3 8RA)*	DE73 8AP
Telephone Number*	Work
Area Code	01332
Number	694047
Email Address*	colin.doyley@ennstonejohnston.co.uk

Name of the document to which this representation relates	Minerals Core Strategy and Development Control Policies
---	---

### To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Paragraph

Policy

Site Map

Please give details

Policy 2

Policy 2 should be amended to reflect the approach set out in the text and the remainder of the document. In particular, there should be a reference to the maintenance of production capacity in addition to stocks of reserves. Similarly, it would be consistent if there was a reference to the fact that other policies provide for planning permission to be granted in other circumstances. The policy should be amended as follows:

"Except as provided by other policies, planning permission will only be granted where necessary to maintain production capacity for minerals and stocks of permitted reserve shaving regard to agreed sub regional apportionment figures or, ....."

### Comments on the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) Report

Is any significant information missing or misrepresented?

Are judgements made in developing the

Sustainability Appraisal framework correct?  
Do you agree with the results of the assessment of effects and any mitigation and monitoring measures proposed? If not do you have any suggestions?

Please note you should complete a separate entry for each Policy, Paragraph or Site Map you wish to comment on. You can create a new entry by clicking on 'add' after you complete a comment.

Click on 'add' for a new entry

Name of the document to which this representation relates

Minerals Core Strategy and Development Control Policies

### **To which part of the document does your representation relate?**

Paragraph

Policy

Policy 6

Site Map

Please give details

It is considered that this policy would benefit from some re-organisation to identify at the outset the circumstances when planning permission may be granted in respect of applications outside preferred areas. Also to address the uncertainty that exists in connection with the interpretation of the term 'small scale extension'. The following revision is proposed: "Plannign permission for non-energy minerals development outside the preferred areas will not be granted unless:

(i) It can be demonstrated that it is required to meet a proven need that cannot otherwise be met from a more sustainable source or

(ii) It consists of an extension to an existing site existing site at a scale that does not conflict with the objectives for sustainable minerals development or

(iii) It involves significant net environmental benefits or

(iv) The sterilisation of reserves would occur.

Any development must also accord accord with the requirements of other relevant policies contained in the Minerals Development Framework."

### **Comments on the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) Report**

Is any significant information missing or misrepresented?

Are judgements made in developing the Sustainability Appraisal framework correct?

Do you agree with the results of the assessment of effects and any mitigation and monitoring measures proposed? If not do you have any suggestions?

Please note you should complete a separate entry for each Policy, Paragraph or Site Map you wish to comment on. You can create a new entry by clicking on 'add' after you complete a comment.

Click on 'add' for a new entry

Name of the document to which this

Minerals Core Strategy and Development Control

representation relates

Policies

### To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Paragraph

7.5 & 7.6

Policy

Site Map

Please give details

These paragraphs refer to the draft MPS 1 Annex in respect of aggregates supply and the provision of landbanks. While it is acknowledged that monitoring and review of the Minerals Development Framework will provide, on a rolling basis, for the introduction of latter provision, where potential shortfalls are projected this should be acknowledged. Also a programme for a review of the circumstances short be specified.

### Comments on the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) Report

Is any significant information missing or misrepresented?

Are judgements made in developing the Sustainability Appraisal framework correct?

Do you agree with the results of the assessment of effects and any mitigation and monitoring measures proposed? If not do you have any suggestions?

Please note you should complete a separate entry for each Policy, Paragraph or Site Map you wish to comment on. You can create a new entry by clicking on 'add' after you complete a comment.

Click on 'add' for a new entry

Name of the document to which this representation relates

Minerals Core Strategy and Development Control Policies

### To which part of the document does your representation relate?

Paragraph

7.10

Policy

Site Map

Please give details

The overall approach presented in this paragraph is supported, but the third sentence suggests that the future position has not been thoroughly considered. It is suggested that this be addressed by a revision to the sentence as follows: "In accordance with the strategy of the LMDF, extensions to existing operations may be an appropriate means of addressing any other factors affecting the maintenance of the landbank provision or production capacity."

### Comments on the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) Report

Is any significant information missing or misrepresented?

Are judgements made in developing the Sustainability Appraisal framework correct?

Do you agree with the results of the assessment of effects and any mitigation and monitoring measures proposed? If not do you have any suggestions?

Please note you should complete a separate entry for each Policy, Paragraph or Site Map you wish to comment on. You can create a new entry by clicking on 'add' after you complete a comment.

Click on 'add' for a new entry

Name of the document to which this representation relates

Minerals Core Strategy and Development Control Policies

**To which part of the document does your representation relate?**

Paragraph

Policy

Site Map

Please give details

Policy 17

The nature of the development being targetted by this policy is not clear. It is assumed that it is development that falls outside the scope permitted by the GPDO. If so this should be explained, and in the policy the word 'ancillary' should be replaced by something more appropriate. The word 'ancillary' is used in the relevant parts of the GPDO.

**Comments on the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) Report**

Is any significant information missing or misrepresented?

Are judgements made in developing the Sustainability Appraisal framework correct?

Do you agree with the results of the assessment of effects and any mitigation and monitoring measures proposed? If not do you have any suggestions?

Please note you should complete a separate entry for each Policy, Paragraph or Site Map you wish to comment on. You can create a new entry by clicking on 'add' after you complete a comment.

Click on 'add' for a new entry