



Waste Development Framework Preferred Options Feedback Form

Leicestershire County Council and Leicester City Council have prepared the Preferred Options version of their **Waste Development Framework** (WDF). The framework consists of: the core strategy and development control document; the site allocations document and the sustainability appraisal of both documents and their associated appendices.

The Councils are now inviting people to comment on the documents and this form allows you to make comments on any or all of the documents which form the framework. Comments on the sustainability appraisal reports can be made in the bottom box overleaf. You may also submit your comments via our website (www.leics.gov.uk), email your comments to planningcontrol@leics.gov.uk or write to the address on the pre-paid envelope supplied.

YOUR CONTACT DETAILS

Please enter your contact details in the box below:

Please indicate whether you are filling in this form on your own behalf or as an agent.

Own Behalf

Agent

If you are an agent please indicate who you represent.

E Taylor Skip Hire, Leicester Road, Hinckley

Name: A L P Ambrose Minerals	Tel no: 01455 221881
Address: Planning & Development Consultancy	Email: alpambrose@btconnect.com
Highway House, Asfare	Fax: 01455 221774
Business Park, Hinckley	Organisation: A L P Ambrose
Road, Molvey, Leics	Minerals Planning & Development Consultancy
Postcode: LE10 3HQ	

Should you require more space than that provided then please either photocopy this form, go to our website and fill in a form online or attach a sheet to this form.

Please indicate to which document your comments pertain using the numbering system for the documents below.

1. WDF Core Strategy and Development Control
2. WDF Site Allocations

Document to which your comments relate Policy/Site Map/Paragraph (delete as appropriate) Number

Comments

See attached comments

Document to which your comments relate Policy/Site Map/Paragraph (delete as appropriate) Number

Comments

Document to which your comments relate Policy/Site Map/Paragraph (delete as appropriate) Number

Comments

Comments on the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) Reports

Is any significant information missing or misrepresented?

Are judgements made in developing the SA Framework correct?

Do you agree with the results of the assessment of effects and any mitigation and monitoring measures proposed ? If not do you have any suggestions?

Please write any comments in the box below.

Representation to LCC on Waste Development Framework

5th October 2006

Site: Leicester Road, Hinckley

LCC Ref: HB1.

The Site

Since the submission of the original information to LCC only half of the allocated area is available for waste use as an extension to the existing facility. The other land is outside of the control of the waste transfer operator.

Location of Waste Facilities

PPS10 and the emerging Policies in the WDF provide for the provision of facilities to accommodate waste near to the point of arising.

Looking at the map showing the location of facilities in the south eastern part of the County there is a distinct lack of any facilities to provide such local needs.

Using the existing proposals there will be a requirement to move waste half way across the County unless this local facility is allowed to expand to accommodate the urban areas to which it is readily accessible.

Land Use

The land is described in the assessment as "agricultural". It is not in any agricultural use.

The land to the north is described as generally open countryside. Inspection of the area would identify that the area to the north is dominated by the rugby and football clubs.

Flood Plain and Water Features

The flood plain mapping indicates that part of the site may be subject to flooding immediately adjacent to the watercourse. Allowing the 6 metres bye laws standoff from the watercourse takes the development beyond the flood plain.

Access

Access to the site could be from the existing access or with a new access from Leicester Road further west to accommodate any extended facility. These options could be explored further.

On page 76 of the report it states the site was not progressed because of its Green Wedge designation and that there are sufficient other designated sites.

- Where are these other sites that will serve the local area?
- Why has the advice in PPS 10 been ignored?
- Why are the transportations distance and reduction in travel being ignored?

All of this information and other considerations should have been explored between the Assessors and the Applicant, but no contact has been made by the LCC or its consultants other than a meeting with officers to confirm the boundaries of the site in the early days of the request for sites to come forward.

Looking at the Sustainability Appraisal Report and the above information, the negative scoring for this site in items SA01, SA02, SA05, and SA20 are not defensible.

You are therefore requested to reassess the site with the proper information before you.